## Stat 201: Introduction to Statistics

Standard 27: Significance Tests - Proportions

## **Confidence Intervals to Testing**

 As we've we can come up with interesting observations from the confidence intervals we found earlier

 Next we will learn how to formally test whether or not the population proportion is a particular value based off our sample proportion

# Vocabulary of Testing

- A **Hypothesis** is a proposition assumed as a premise in an argument, i.e. we assume it to be true. It's a statement regarding a characteristic of one or more populations.
- Hypothesis testing is a procedure based on evidence found in a sample to test hypothesis

   to see if we have enough evidence to suggest the alternative.

# Vocabulary of Testing

- The null hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) is the hypothesis we conclude to be true unless we have data that is sufficient to suggest otherwise think "innocent until proven guilty"
- The alternative hypothesis (H<sub>a</sub>) is the hypothesis that we conclude to be true if we have data that is sufficient to suggest the null hypothesis is not true

# Hypothesis

- 1. Two-tailed test
  - $-H_0$ : parameter = some value
  - $-H_1$ : parameter  $\neq$  some value
- 2. Left-tailed test
  - $-H_0$ : parameter  $\geq$  some value
  - $-H_1$ : parameter < some value
- 3. Right-tailed test
  - $-H_0$ : parameter  $\leq$  some value
  - $-H_1$ : parameter > some value
- \*\*Your book always has the H<sub>0</sub>: parameter = some value

## Watch These!

- Intro with funny accent\*:
  - <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0zZYBALbZgg</u>
  - The example is a little advanced for now but the explanation is VERY good!
- P-value:

– <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eyknGvncKLw</u>

- State Hypotheses to some value we're interested in,  $p_o$  it's usually easier to start with  $H_a$ 
  - Null hypothesis: that the population proportion equals some  $p_o$ 
    - $H_o: p \le p_o$  (one sided test)
    - $H_o: p \ge p_o$  (one sided test)
    - $H_o: p = p_o$  (two sided test)

#### - Alternative hypothesis: What we're interested in

- $H_a: p > p_o$  (one sided test)
- $H_a: p < p_o$  (one sided test)
- $Ha: p \neq p_o$  (two sided test)

- Check the assumptions:
  - 1. The variable must be categorical
  - 2. The data should be obtained using randomization
  - 3. The sample size is sufficiently large where  $p_o$  is the testing value (note we use  $\rho = p_0$ )
    - $np_o \ge 15$
    - $n(1-p_o) \ge 15$
    - It is safe to assume the distribution of  $p_o$  has a bell shaped distribution if both are  $\geq 15$

- Calculate Test Statistic, z\*
  - The test statistic measures how different the sample proportion we have is from the null hypothesis
  - We calculate the z-score by assuming that  $p_o$  is the population proportion (we use  $\rho = p_0$ )

$$z^* = \frac{(\hat{p} - p_o)}{\sqrt{\frac{p_o(1 - p_o)}{n}}}$$

#### • Determine the P-value

- The P-value describes how unusual the sample data would be if  $H_o$  were true, which is what we're assuming ( $\rho = p_0$ ).

#### $-z^*$ is the test statistic from step 3

Alternative Hypothesis	Probability	Formula for the P-value
$H_a: \rho > p_o$	Right tail	P(Z>z*)=1-P(Z <z*)< th=""></z*)<>
$H_a: \rho < p_o$	Left tail	P(Z <z*)< th=""></z*)<>
$H_a: \rho \neq p_o$	Two-tail	2*P(Z<- z* )

- Summarize the test by reporting and interpreting the P-value
  - Smaller p-values give stronger evidence against  $H_o$
- If p-value  $\leq (1 confidence) = \alpha$ 
  - Reject H<sub>o</sub>, with a p-value = \_\_\_\_, we have sufficient evidence that the alternative hypothesis might be true
- If p-value>  $(1 confidence) = \alpha$ 
  - Fail to reject  $H_o$ , with a p-value = \_\_\_\_, we do not have sufficient evidence that the alternative hypothesis might be true

- For a left tailed test:  $H_a: \rho < p_0 \rightarrow$  We have rejection regions for  $H_o$  are as follows
  - Note: all of the rejection region is in the left tail, where  $\hat{p}$  is much smaller than  $p_0$

Confidence		Reject (test stat)	Reject (p-value)
0.90		Test-stat<-1.282	P-value<.1
0.95		Test-stat<-1.645	P-value<.05
0.99		Test-stat<-2.326	P-value<.01
	10% 5% 1% -2.326 -1.645	G -1.282	



Confidence	Reject (test stat)	Reject (p-value)
0.90	Test-stat<-1.282	P-value<.1
0.95	Test-stat<-1.645	P-value<.05
0.99	Test-stat<-2.326	P-value<.01

- For a right tailed test:  $H_a: \rho > p_0 \rightarrow$  We have rejection regions for  $H_o$  are as follows
  - Note: all of the rejection region is in the right tail, where  $\hat{p}$  is much larger than  $p_0$

Confidence	Reject (test stat)	Reject (p-value)
0.90	Test-stat>1.282	P-value<.1
0.95	Test-stat>1.645	P-value<.05
0.99	Test-stat>2.326	P-value<.01





Confidence	Reject (test stat)	Reject (p-value)
0.90	Test-stat>1.282	P-value<.1
0.95	Test-stat>1.645	P-value<.05
0.99	Test-stat>2.326	P-value<.01

- For a two tailed test:  $H_a: \rho \neq p_0 \rightarrow$  We have rejection regions for  $H_o$  are as follows
  - Note: here we split the rejection region into both tails, where  $\hat{p}$  is very different from  $p_0$

Confidence	Reject (test stat)	Reject (p-value)
0.90	Test-stat <1.645	P-value<.1
0.95	Test-stat <1.960	P-value<.05
0.99	Test-stat <2.576	P-value<.01
<		25% Q Q 5%

1.645 1.96 2.576

2576 -106 -1645



The idea is – if our z\* is in the rejection region, our sample p̂ is too unusual for the null hypothesis to be true so the data shows sufficient evidence against the null suggesting the alternative might be true.

## Example

- A random sample of MLB home games showed that the home teams won 1335 of 2429 games.
- At the .01 level of significance (99% confidence) is there evidence that there is a home field advantage?

• 
$$\hat{p} = \frac{1335}{2429} = .5496$$

## Example – Step One

 State the Hypotheses: we are interested in whether or not there was a home field advantage, whether or not the population proportion of home games won by the home team is greater than .50

$$-H_{o}: \rho \leq .5$$
  
 $-H_{a}: \rho > .5$ 

## Example – Step Two

- Check Assumptions
  - The variable is categorical
    - Either the home team won or they didn't
  - The data was collected randomly

$$-np_o = 2429(.5) = 1214.5 \ge 15$$

- $-n(1-p_o) = 2429(.5) = 1214.5 \ge 15$
- So, it is safe to assume the distribution of  $p_o$  has a bell shaped distribution

#### Example – Step Three

• Calculate the test statistic:

$$z^* = \frac{(\hat{p} - p_o)}{\sqrt{\frac{p_o(1 - p_o)}{n}}} = \frac{(.5496 - .5)}{\sqrt{\frac{.5(1 - .5)}{2429}}} = 4.89$$

### Example – Step Four

• Determine P-value

- From the table pvalue = 1 - P(Z < z \*)

$$pvalue = 1 - P(Z < 4.89)$$
  
= 1 - pnorm(4.89,0,1)  
= .0000005041799

#### Z-table:

 $pvalue = 1 - P(Z < 4.89) \approx 1 - 1 = 0$ 

## Example – Step Five

• State Conclusion

- Since .0000005041799 < .01 we reject  $H_o$ At the .01 level of significance, or 99% confidence level, there is sufficient evidence to suggest that there is a home field advantage (the alternative)

## Example – Step Five

• State Conclusion: We reject  $H_o$  for any of the following reasons

- By P-value:
  - .0000005041799<.01</p>
- By Z-statistic:
  - |4.89|> 2.575829
- By *p*̂:

• . 5496 >  $x = z\sigma_{\hat{p}} + \mu_{\hat{p}} = 2.575829 \sqrt{\frac{.5(1-.5)}{2429}} + .5 = .526132$ 



## Hypothesis Testing for Proportions on your TI Calculator

- Hypothesis testing for proportions
  - <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y5wK1zHQ</u>
    <u>OI</u>

## Hypothesis Testing for Proportions on your TI Calculator

#### • <u>INPUT:</u>

- 1. Press STAT
- 2. Press  $\rightarrow$  to TESTS
- 3. Scroll down using  $\downarrow$  to highlight '5: 1-PropZTest'
- 4. Press ENTER
- 5. Enter the we're interested in next to ' $p_0$ :'
- 6. Enter the number of the total that had the behavior we're looking for next to 'x:'
- 7. Enter the total number observations next to 'n:'
- 8. Select the appropriate alternative hypothesis on the 'prop' line by highlighting the correct inequality and pressing ENTER
- 9. Highlight 'Calculate'
- 10. Press ENTER

Hypothesis Testing for Proportions on your TI Calculator

#### • <u>OUTPUT:</u>

- z = our test statistics
- p = our p-value for the test
  - We make our decision based on this
- $-\hat{p}$  = the sample proportion for the problem
- n = the sample size and should match the number
   you entered in step 6 above

#### **Confidence Intervals for Proportions**

- <u>StatCrunch Commands w/ data</u>
  - Stat→Proportion Stats→One Sample
     →with data (if you have the a list of data)→Choose the column→type the success value into the success box→ choose hypothesis→ enter the correct hypothesis→ Compute
- <u>StatCrunch Commands w/ summaries</u>
  - Stat→Proportion Stats→One Sample
     →with summary (if you have the count) → enter the number of success and total observations→ enter the correct hypothesis→ Compute

## **Confidence Intervals**

Assumptions	Point Estimate	Margin of Error	Margin of Error
1. Random Sample 2. $n\hat{p} \ge 15$ And $n(1 - \hat{p}) \ge 15$	$\widehat{p}$	$Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}}$	$\hat{p} \pm z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$

• We are --% confident that the true population proportion lays on the confidence interval.

## Hypothesis Testing

Step One:	1. $H_0: p = p_0 \& H_a: p \neq p_0$ 1. $H_0: p \ge p_0 \& H_a: p < p_0$ 2. $H_0: p \le p_0 \& H_a: p > p_0$
Step Two:	1. Categorical 2. Random 3. $np_o \ge 15 \& n(1 - p_o) \ge 15$
Step Three:	$z^* = \frac{(\hat{p} - p_o)}{\sqrt{\frac{p_o(1 - p_o)}{n}}}$
Step Four:	$\begin{aligned} H_a: p \neq p_0 \rightarrow p\text{-value} &= 2*P(Z <- z^* ) \\ H_a: p < p_0 \rightarrow p\text{-value} &= P(Z < z^*) \\ H_a: p > p_0 \rightarrow p\text{-value} &= P(Z > z^*) = 1-P(Z < z^*) \end{aligned}$
Step Five:	If p-value $\leq (1 - confidene) = \alpha$ $\rightarrow$ Reject $H_0$ If p-value $> (1 - confidence) = \alpha$ $\rightarrow$ Fail to Reject $H_0$